

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 2689 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.2.2017

Nirmal Singh

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Financial Commissioner, Revenue, Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Punjab,
Chandigarh, and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. B.S. Mann, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard. It comes out that in pursuance to the decision taken by a Division Bench of this Court on 13.12.2013 in CWP-20255-2008, titled as Naval Kumar and another Versus State of Punjab and others, (Annexure-P-4), regarding sale of shamlat land, the Government conducted the inquiry and accordingly the action has been taken against the petitioner, vide impugned order dated 6.12.2012 (Annexure-P-8) by imposing 1/3rd cut in his pension.

After going through the file, I am of the view that the averments made in the present writ petition require leading of evidence, which cannot be done in the writ petition. Therefore, the petitioner is relegated to avail the remedy before the civil court.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.2.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No