

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 26810 of 2017
Decided on : 27.11.2017**

Mohit Seherawat

. . . Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

PRESENT: Mr. Parveen Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is to the notifications dated 23.01.2013 & 27.09.2013 (appended as Annexures P-2 & P-3, respectively) as well as instructions dated 15.07.2014 (Annexure P-4), issued by the State Government granting vertical reservation to the Economically Backward Persons from General Category (EBPG) being illegal, contrary to the provisions contained in Articles 15(4), 16(1) & 16(4) of the Constitution of India and also to the judgment of the Apex Court rendered in “Indra Sawhney Vs. Union of India” 1992 Supp(3) SCC 217. Further, a prayer for direction to the respondents for not to make public appointments under the Economically Backward Persons from General Category (EBPG) and treat all the candidates in General Category in all the pending process of posts provided in Advt. No. Ractt./HPUs/GATE-2017, dated 28th July, 2017 (Annexure P-12), issued by respondents No.3, has also been made.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with

liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate authority for redressal of the grievance as raised in the present writ petition.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2017

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No