

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARHCWP- 5633-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2017

Priya and others

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.P.K.Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Nikhil K.Chopra, Additional Advocate General Punjab
Mr.Arunjeet Singh Kakkar, Advocate for respondent no.4

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Prem an employee of Municipal Council, Ferozepur expired on 9.8.2013. His legal heirs filed the present writ petition for the release of family pension, gratuity and other retiral benefits of the deceased employee.

It comes out from Annexure R1 attached with the reply of respondent no.4 that during pendency of the petition, the retiral benefits have been released on different dates starting from 28.11.2013 to 27.5.2016. It appears that the retiral benefits were released in installments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for interest on the delayed payment.

Since some of the payments were released with delay, therefore, starting three months from the date of death of the deceased employee Prem, the respondent no.4 shall pay interest @ 9% per annum till the date of payment.

Petition is accordingly allowed to the above noted extent.

26.05.2017

gk

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Yes

No