

CWP No. 26773 of 2017

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 26773 of 2017

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 24, 2017

JOGA SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release full and final payment of GPF along with upto date statutory interest thereon in terms of Rule 13 of the Punjab Civil Services Rules & as per Govt. instructions and also release interest on other delayed payments of retirement benefits in terms of law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in “*A.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab and others*”, *1997 (3) RSJ 318*.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner completed the age of superannuation on 31.03.2015 but he was allowed two years extension in service and thereafter relieved from service on 31.03.2017 but the payment on account of GPF has not been released. He further contends that petitioner moved a representation dated 27.09.2017

(Annexure P-2) to the District Education Officer (SE), Ropar but to no avail. The short prayer at this stage is for directing the District Education Officer (SE), Ropar-respondent No.3 to consider and decide the said representation, within a stipulated period.

3. In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 27.09.2017 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner and if there is no impediment in release of the GPF, release the same along with interest, as per Government instructions on the delayed payment as well as judgment of this Court captioned as “*A.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab and others*”, 1997 (3) RSJ 318.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 24, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*