

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No.26752 of 2017
Decided on : 24.11.2017**

M/s Macro India

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks directions for release of the amount of ₹19,17,331/- qua the work of upgradation of L.F. Road (Opposite Sugar Mill) Kokri Kalan via Galib Kalan under PMGSY Scheme Package No.PB-1026, Phase-X in District Ludhiana having been executed by the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum.

It is the case of the petitioner that work was completed on 12.11.2013 and the payment of the work done has already been released. However, the abovesaid amount was kept as security and after a period of 3 years it had to be released on 12.11.2016, but the same has not been done.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that legal notice dated 11.10.2017(Annexure P-2) has recently been served upon respondent No.5 and he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the said respondent to decide the said legal notice within some fixed time frame.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.26752 of 2017

-2-

Keeping in view the limited relief sought by the petitioner, this Court is of the opinion that there is no requirement of calling for any reply on behalf of the respondents.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or entitlement of the petitioner as such regarding the relief claimed, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to take into consideration the said legal notice dated 11.10.2017(Annexure P-2) and take a decision within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. It is made clear that in case the petitioner is held entitled for the necessary relief, the amount shall be released forthwith. In case any adverse order is to be passed then a reasoned order be passed by the said respondent and copy thereof be supplied to the petitioner.

The present writ petition is disposed off with the aforesaid directions.

NOVEMBER 24, 2017
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No