

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.11.25 10:39
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.26742 of 2017

Date of Decision: 24.11.2017

Mangat Ram and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. D.S. Kahlon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing respondents No.2 to 4 to promote the petitioners as their juniors have been already been promoted in the year 2012 and thereafter, in the year 2016.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that even the directions issued in ***CWP No.185 of 2014*** and other connected matters have not been complied with by the respondents.

The present petition has been filed without approaching the respondent authorities and even no representation has been made, hence, no writ of *mandamus* can be issued.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to approach the authorities concerned by making representation. However, in case, the petitioners move a representation to the respondent authorities within a period of one month from today then the respondent authorities are directed to consider their representation in accordance with law within a period of three months thereafter.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

24.11.2017

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No