

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5548 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.04.2017

Gagandip Singh

.... Petitioner

VS.

Union of India & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. B.D.Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J.(Oral)

The petitioner admits that his father was a Central Government employee at the time of death. His claim for compassionate appointment comes from his father. He qualifies the service norms. The deceased employee worked with the 3rd respondent – Terminal Ballistics Research Laboratory, which is part of the Department of Defence Ministry, Central Government.

Mr. Namit Kumar appearing for the Union of India submits that the jurisdiction lies with the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, Chandigarh.

In the first instance, the petitioner is relegated to his remedy before the Tribunal. The file be sent to the appropriate Court.

Parties are directed to appear before the Hon'ble Tribunal on 15.05.2017.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of.

26.04.2017

sonia

1. Whether speaking/non-speaking?

2. Whether reportable?

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No