

106
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.26687 of 2017
Date of decision: November 22, 2017

Vivek Goel

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present: Mr.Anil Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

S.J.VAZIFDAR, Chief Justice :

The petitioner has challenged a communication dated 26.10.2017 (Annexure P-10) from the Greater Mohali Area Development Authority (GMADA), stating that his application for regularisation has not been considered as the conditions of the Regularisation Policy dated 15.12.2016 have not been complied with. The letter further stated that the new regularisation policy was under consideration of the Government and the petitioner's application would be considered under the new policy.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that the petitioner does not know as to which part of the regularisation policy dated 15.12.2016 has not been complied with. The petitioner has not even enquired in this regard from the respondents. The petitioner must, in the first instance, seek clarifications – particulars from the respondents regarding the conditions of the regularisation policy, which according to the respondents, he is yet to comply with. Thereafter, the petitioner may either

comply with the same or challenge the refusal on the grounds that may
would be stated by the respondents.

The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the
petitioner as aforesaid.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

November 22, 2017

gian

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)

JUDGE Whether Speaking / Reasoned Yes / No Whether