

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-2662-2017

Decided on: February 14, 2017.

Poonam Devi and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Without expression of any opinion on merits regarding the locus standi of the petitioners to challenge the proposal of creation of new Sub Division/Tehsil Badli, it is pertinent to observe here that the said proposal has not been held to be appropriate by the Deputy Commissioner, Jhajjar in his communication Annexure P-7 addressed to the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak intimating that the proposed Sub Division does not fulfill the norms issued by the Government.

Since no final decision has yet been taken regarding the upgrading of Badli as Sub Division, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioners who are citizens of a democratic country, their grievance may also be considered before taking a final decision.

Notice of motion to Advocate General, Haryana.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Jindal, Addl. A.G., Haryana, present in the Court, accepts notice.

Counsel for the petitioners is directed to hand over three sets of paper book to the State counsel, during course of the day.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the resolution passed by the Gram Panchayat of village Kutani Annexure P-6 may also be taken into consideration before taking any final decision.

This petition is disposed of as pre-mature, however, a direction is issued to respondents No. 1 and 2 to ensure that the resolution Annexure P-6 be also taken into consideration before taking a final decision regarding upgrading of Sub Division Badli.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

February 14, 2017

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No