

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6244 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 5.9.2017

Dayanand .. Petitioner
vs
State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill

Present: Mr. Pavan Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate, General, Haryana and
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking to challenge acquisition of land. Notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, were issued on 31.12.2013 and 30.12.2014, respectively. The purpose for which the land was sought to be acquired is for Residential, Open Space, Roads and Green Belt, Sector-1, Farrukhnagar at Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the State submitted that after reconsidering the entire matter, the Government has decided to drop the acquisition proposed to be carried out vide aforesaid notifications.

In view of the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the State, the prayer made in the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

5.9.2017
vs

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No