

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2647 of 2017
Decided on : 13.02.2017**

Ajit Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

PRESENT: Ms. Avin Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to the respondents to release the land of the petitioners, acquired vide notification dated 06.01.1978 (Annexure P-2), issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (in short 'the Act'), followed by notification dated 06.10.1979 (Annexure P-3), issued under Section 6 of the Act, for construction of bye-pass.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that for the relief sought in the writ petition, the petitioners have filed a representation dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-9), before the respondents, but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that time bound direction be issued to the concerned respondent to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners, perusing the petition and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, we

consider it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition by directing respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-9) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

February 13, 2017

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No