

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2646 of 2017
Date of Decision: 14.02.2017**

Tarsem Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R. S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No. 3-Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib to consider the case of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 22.12.2016 (Annexure P-2). By the said order, respondent No. 2-the Commissioner, Ferozepur Division, Ferozepur had remanded back the case of the petitioner to respondent No. 3-Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib to decide the same which is related to the promotion of the petitioner. The issue was to be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of one month.

Counsel for the petitioner herein contends that despite the said order and the representation that was filed thereafter, the case of the petitioner has not been considered. A limited prayer is made that the said case of the petitioner be decided as directed by the Commissioner,

Ferozepur Division, Ferozepur.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R. S. Sidhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Let three copies of the paper book be served upon him during the course of the day.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the above noted writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the case of the petitioner in terms of the order already passed by respondent No. 2 within a period of one month from the date of the receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 14, 2017
Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No