

CWP No. 26448 of 2017

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 20, 2017

PREM NATH AND ORS.

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to revise their pension and other retiral benefits on the basis of last pay drawn by them at the time of their retirement while working on their respective posts of Principals/Head Masters/Head Mistress in officiating capacity and also to pay the arrears along-with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 17358 of 2015, titled as **Jagjit Singh and others vs. State of Punjab & others,** decided on September 09, 2016 (P-3). He further submits that

with regard to their relief claimed through the instant petition, they made a

representation dated September 01, 2017 (P-2) to respondent No.2 but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken on the same. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the afore-said representation (P-2) in a time bound manner.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their representation (P-2) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No.17358 of 2015 (P-5), which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioners has attained finality, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 20, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>