

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.26434 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 20, 2017

Babita Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhagat Phool Singh Mahila Vishwavidyalaya, Khanpur Kalan,
and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Mr. Malik, learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that despite the first person on the merit list (Kamla Devi) having refused appointment (the merit list being a part of Annexure P-3 and the said candidates' refusal being Annexure P-5), the petitioner being the next candidate on the merit list, has not been given an appointment.

In respect of her grievance, the petitioner has filed a representation Annexure P-6, which is stated to have not been decided.

Learned Senior counsel also relies upon a judgment of this Court, in **Arun Singh and others v. State of Haryana and another** (CWP no.25682 of 2014) dated 13.11.2017, wherein a similar controversy has been settled in favour of the petitioners in that petition.

Mr. Malik further submits that the post in question has still not been filled in.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the

aforesaid representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-6), by passing a speaking order by 31.12.2017, duly considering the applicability of the said judgment to the petitioners' case.

The post in question shall not be filled till the speaking order is passed, with the validity of the merit list prepared in the selection process to continue to remain alive for 15 days after the speaking order is passed.

November 20, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	no