

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 5175 of 2016
Decided on : 22.11.2017**

Dinesh Sharma

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

PRESENT: Petitioner in-person.

Mr. IPS Doabia, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Ms. Nikita Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Thiala, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to the respondents to rigorously and permanently comply with the judgment passed by the Apex Court in case titled as In Re: Noise Pollution reported in 2005 (5) SCC 733 and the judgment of this Court in rendered in CWP No. 4794 of 2011, titled as “Court On Its Own Motion Vs. State of Punjab” and the Noise Pollution (Regulation and Control) Rules, 2000, in the area where the petitioner dwells.

2. Short reply on behalf of respondent No.2 has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

A copy thereof has been handed over to the petitioner.

3. The petitioner, who appears in-person states that in view of the short reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2, he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 22, 2017

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No