

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.26330 of 2017.

Date of Decision: November 20, 2017

Bachan Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Amarbir Singh Salar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The multiple grievances raised in the instant writ petition by the petitioner were also subject-matter of CWP No.17366 of 2017 (Bachan Singh versus State of Punjab and others) filed in this Court. The said writ petition was disposed of on 08.08.2017 with certain time bound directions. The petitioner alleges that those directions have been complied with partly only. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition because we have given liberty to him to file a fresh petition if the authorities do not take any action for recovery of the amount from the private builder.

In our considered view, the petitioner has got an efficacious alternative remedy to initiate appropriate proceedings for deliberate disobedience of the order passed by this Court. If the authorities in purported compliance of those directions have failed to make any recovery of the due amount, the petitioner would obviously be at liberty to challenge such action in appropriate writ proceedings. At this stage, the remedy for the petitioner would be to seek enforcement of the directions already issued by this Court.

With liberty aforementioned, the writ petition is disposed of.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 20, 2017

mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No