

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.107

Civil Writ Petition No.26308 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 17, 2017

GURDIAL KAUR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to revise the pay scale of the deceased w.e.f. November 01, 1971 instead of July 16, 1975 with further prayer to fix the pay scale whose unrevised pay falls between two stages in the new time scale in the revised scale in the next stage, as per law laid down by this Court in *CWP No.2208 of 1989 captioned as Lekh Raj Khara & ors. vs. State of Punjab & another decided on March 24, 2009* and further directing the respondents to grant all the consequential benefits i.e. arrears of pay along with interest and revised pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation was made to the respondents on September 12, 2017 (P-8) but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department.

Civil Writ Petition No.26308 of 2017

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid representation within some specified period.

4. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representation dated September 12, 2017 (P-8) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of the judgment in case captioned as ***Lekh Raj Khera (supra)*** within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 17, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**