

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26307 of 2017

Date of decision:-17.11.2017

Vikas Sharma

.. Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

Coram: **HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR,CHIEF JUSTICE**
 HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present:- Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE

It is not necessary to interfere with the impugned order at this stage. The operative part of the order requires the Estate Officer to verify and examine certain issues such as the demand for enhanced compensation. The order further provides that if any excess amount is paid the same shall be refunded to the petitioner. If any order is adverse to the petitioner, he is always at liberty to challenge the same on any ground. Further, it is made clear that the petitioner shall also be entitled to challenge any of the findings in the impugned order dated 25.04.2017. It is clarified that even the finding regarding the encroachment may be challenged after the final composite order is passed.

The Estate Officer is requested to comply with the direction contained in the impugned order as expeditiously as possible preferably within 15 days. Liberty to the petitioner to mention before the Estate Officer.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

17.11.2017
Atul

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No