

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.26169 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 16, 2017

Tarsem Lal @ Tarsem Singh

. Petitioner

Vs.

The Financial Commissioner (Appeals-I), Punjab and others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Sanjeev Roy, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the orders passed by all the revenue authorities appointing respondent No.4 to the post of Lambardar.

It is submitted that the petitioner has more merit than the appointed candidate but he has failed to show any perversity in the order of the Collector that the Collector has not considered the evidence available on record. Thus, I do not find any merit to interfere in this petition as the choice of the Collector has to be respected until and unless there is perversity in his approach.

With these observations, the present petition is dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

NOVEMBER 16, 2017

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*