

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.584 of 2015 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 14, 2017

SAROJNI CHANANA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. D.K. Sihag, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Bajaj, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not want to pursue the instant petition and intends to withdraw the same but with liberty to move a detailed representation to the concerned authorities, which may be disposed of within some particular period.

2. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to move a detailed representation within a period of two weeks before the concerned authorities, which shall be dealt with and disposed of by respondent No.2-Director, Department of Industries and Commerce, Chandigarh, as per Service Rules and Instructions issued by Govt. from time to time as well as judgement of this Court, captioned as *“Surjit Kaur v. State of Punjab and another, 2005(3) SCT 613*, within a period of two months from the date of its receipt.

3. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 14, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**