

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4959 of 2016
Date of Decision: 08.02.2017

PARKASHO DEVI ***... Petitioner***

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANOTHER ***..Respondents***

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

Present: Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate,
for Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that since the claim of the petitioner as prayed for, in the present writ petition has been settled, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

Learned State counsel has also informed the Court that the family pension in respect of the husband of the petitioner has been sanctioned and sent to the Treasury for the payment.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

February 8, 2017
Suresh Kumar

[KULDIP SINGH]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	<i>:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>
				✓
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>/</i>	<i>No</i>
				✓