

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.125

Civil Writ Petition No.25972 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 14, 2017

DALJIT SINGH BAKSHI AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to consider and decide the pending claim of the petitioners especially legal notice (P-5) for re-fixation of pensionary benefits/pay along with arrears, by notionally promoting the petitioners with effect from the date of promotion of juniors, in terms of Punjab Government Decision dated March 14, 2017 (P-2) and for grant of consequential benefits in terms thereof.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though a legal notice dated September 25, 2017 (P-5) was moved to the respondents but till date, no action has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is given to respondents, to decide the aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

Civil Writ Petition No. 25972 of 2017

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated September 25, 2017 (P-5) and take a conscious decision, in the light of the service Rules and Instructions issued by the Government dated March 14, 2017 (P-2), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 14, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**