

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25952 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 15, 2017

Arvind and another

. . . . Petitioners

Vs.

Life Insurance Corporation of India

. . . .Respondent

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.S.S. Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are the legal heirs of Jagdeep Beniwal, who have prayed for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to pay accident benefit claim accrued on the policy obtained by the deceased Jagdeep Beniwal. There is no dispute that the Ombudsman has directed the insurer to pay death claim but the grievance of the petitioners is that the accident benefit claim has not been considered and paid.

During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners has referred to a letter dated 7.2.2017, issued by the Life Insurance Company, in which they have asked the petitioners to send attested copy of the judgment of the Court so that their case may be considered for grant of accident benefit claim.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has admitted that the judgment of the Court has not yet been supplied, therefore, in these circumstances, no direction is required to be issued to the respondent because they have already asked the petitioners to submit the decision of the

Court by which the police has submitted the untraced report in regard to the alleged murder of Jagdeep Beniwal. Hence, the present petition is not maintainable in view of the letter dated 7.2.2017 and the same is hereby dismissed.

NOVEMBER 15, 2017

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No