

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25906 of 2017

Date of decision : 14.11.2017

Harpreet Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab School Education Board and another

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. S. K. Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved by the action of the respondents that at the time of extension, a break is given, whereas some of other similarly situated persons have approached this Court by way of filing different petitions which are still pending and because of status quo order they are being allowed to continue in service and no break is given when extension is granted to them. However, every time at the time of extension, a break is given to the petitioner. A legal notice was also served upon the respondents on 21.09.2017 but neither the same has been considered nor any order has been passed. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued to consider their legal notice and pass an appropriate order.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and the

averments made in the writ petition that no break is given to the other similarly situated persons, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the legal notice and take action in accordance with law by considering the parity of other similarly situated persons. In case there is no break while giving extension to other similarly situated persons, the break be not given in case of petitioner as well. The necessary exercise be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. It is also directed to respondents that status quo order be maintained till the disposal of the legal notice.

14.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No