

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

Civil Writ Petition No.25905 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 14, 2017

VED PARKASH CHHABRA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to pay the arrears of salary on account of re-fixation of pay on grant of ACPs etc. for the period the petitioner actually worked on the post of Sub Divisional Engineer i.e. w.e.f. December 29, 1992 to January 31, 2009 along-with interest @ 12% per annum and release the same within the specified time with further prayer to issue directions to respondents to consider and decide the claim made out in the legal notice dated July 24, 2017 (P-12) by passing a reasoned and speaking order.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated July 24, 2017 (P-12), within a stipulated period, in view of the judgments passed by this

Civil Writ Petition No.25905 of 2017

Court in CWP No. 16063 of 2012, titled as ***K.C. Gupta and others vs. State of Haryana & another***; decided on August 22,2012 and in CWP No. 15794 of 2013, titled as ***Ram Kishan Mittal and others vs. State of Haryana & others***; as well as order dated March 24, 2017 passed in RA-CW-146 of 2014 in CWP No. 15794 of 2013

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated July 24, 2017 (P-12) and to decide the same in view of afore-said judgments rendered by this Court that too, by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the competent authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 14, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**