

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.2588 of 2017

Date of decision : February 13, 2017

Surjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Karamjit Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner claims that her husband retired from service on 31.01.1996 and expired on 15.05.1996. The benefits of pay revision on account of 5th and 6th Pay Commission have not been granted. The matter is still pending with the department as coming out from letter dated 22.09.2016 (Annexure P-11). The petitioner has issued legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-10).

The short prayer of the petitioner, at this stage is that the respondents may be directed to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-10).

In these circumstances, without issuing notice to the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure P-10) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 13, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No