

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.11.21 09:58
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.25859 of 2017

Date of Decision: 14.11.2017

Mukesh Bansal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Gurmeet Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to be in service as part time lecturer (Guest Faculty) till regular selection is made.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is an apprehension that the petitioner can be removed from service or can be replaced by another contractual employee.

For having apprehension of replacement, nothing has been mentioned in the petition or in the arguments. Simply by saying that there is an apprehension of replacement of the petitioner with another contractual employee is not a ground to issue any direction.

However, in case, the petitioner feels that there is any reason to have apprehension or some process has been started, he is at liberty to approach the Court.

The petition is disposed of being pre-mature.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

14.11.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No