

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25808 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 13, 2017

SURINDER KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD.RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-corporation to grant pay scale equivalent to pay scale granted to head clerks in respondent-corporation as well as entire arrears of pay along with interest @ 18% per annum as per judgment of this Court in CWP No. 10117 of 1992. And further to re-fix all the retiral benefits by granting enhanced pay scales at par with pay scales being granted to head clerks.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated 20.09.2016 (Annexure P-6) was duly served upon the respondents but till today neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued

to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 20.09.2016 (Annexure P-6) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The claim of grant of interest shall also be considered in the light of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case titled as “***A.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT, 468.***”

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 13, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***