

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 25806 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 13, 2017

H.R. LUTHRA AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE COUNCIL FOR
CHILD WELFARE, CHD. AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Madan Pal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take decision on legal notice dated 31.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and they may be granted higher time scale and pay may be fixed at par with his juniors as well as all consequential benefits along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though legal notice dated 31.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) was duly served upon the respondents but till today neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

President, Haryana State Council for Child Welfare, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their legal notice dated 31.07.2017 (Annexure P-1) and to decide the same in terms of Rules, Regulations, Instructions issued from time to time and judgement dated 04.05.2017 passed in CWP No.9465 of 2017 (O&M), captioned as ***“Sh. Lal Singh Yadav v. Haryana State Council for Child Welfare, Chandigarh and others”*** as well as office order dated 14.07.2017, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The claim of grant of interest shall also be considered in the light of observations made by Full Bench of this Court in case titled as ***“A.S. Randhawa v. State of Punjab and others, 1997 (3) SCT, 468.***

4. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 13, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***