

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25696-2017

Date of Decision: November 10, 2017

Krishan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Amit Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The short prayer made by the petitioner is that he has filed ROR No.241 of 2011 (P-1) which is stated to be pending before the Financial Commissioner (Revenue). It is averred that though more than six years have passed but the revision petition has yet not been decided.

[2] Having regard to the above-stated plea taken by the petitioner, but without expressing any views on the merits or on the question of maintainability of the revision petition, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Financial Commissioner (Revenue) to take up ROR No.241 of 2011 and decide the same in accordance with law, if it is still pending within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

November 10, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |