

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25686-2017

Date of decision : 12.12.2017

Ranbir Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Vikram Lakhlan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition has been erroneously tagged with the bunch of petitions pertaining to transfers of drivers and conductors of the Haryana Roadways, whereas actually the petitioners' claim is for a step up in their pay equal to that of their juniors, in terms of the ratio of the judgment of this Court in ***Neelam Rani vs. The State of Haryana and others***, CWP no.11254 of 2010 decided on 15.05.2013.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners, Annexure P-8, by passing a speaking order within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in ***Neelam Ranis' case (supra)***.

In case a speaking order has already been passed in the case of the petitioners, a short order may now be passed annexing therewith the

detailed order already passed (if any).

It is however made clear that if the petitioners' case is stated to be not covered by the ratio of the aforesaid judgment, then even if a speaking order has been passed already, not giving detailed reasons as to why it is not so covered, a fresh speaking order shall be passed giving such detailed reasons.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

December 12, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No