

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6521 of 2014

Date of Decision.27.04.2017

Satyawan son of Shri Bhag Chand

.....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ajit Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J.(ORAL)

Against the order dated 29.05.2013 (Annexure P-5) of Superintending Canal Officer, an appeal under Section 20(3) of the Haryana Canal & Drainage Act, 1974 is maintainable before the Chief Canal Officer exercising the revisional jurisdiction against any order of the subordinate authority for the purpose of satisfaction regarding the legal and propriety of the proceedings.

At this stage, when Mr. Ajit Sihag, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner was confronted with the situation, he sought liberty of the Court to avail the remedy of appeal before the Chief Canal Officer, provided the period spent in this Court in pursuing the writ remedy is condoned.

In case, aforementioned remedy is availed within one month from today, the period spent in availing the writ remedy before this Court shall be deemed to be condoned, in essence, there shall not be any objection

qua limitation.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 27, 2017
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No