

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-25603-2017

Date of Decision: November 09, 2017

Sat Prakash (Since deceased) through his LRS and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The short grievance of the petitioners in this writ petition is that they have filed statutory appeals against the eviction order like Annexure P9, dated 26.03.2015 and their appeals are pending before the Collector, Hisar. They have also applied for grant of stay for which separate applications like Annexure P-11 have been moved. It is their grievance that while stay applications are still pending and presently listed on 05.12.2017, the respondent-authorities have served them with the notices to demolish the construction.

[2] Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and keeping in view the nature of relief sought in the writ petition, but without expressing any views on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Collector to decide the stay applications filed by the

petitioners preferably on the date fixed, i.e. 05.12.2017 or within one month

thereafter. If so feasible, the Appellate Authority may decide the main appeals also within three months. Till such time the stay applications are decided, status quo re: demolition of further construction be maintained.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

November 09, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |