

**101+238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.15945-CWP of 2016 in/and
CWP No.4442 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 20, 2017**

Nirmal Kumari Masih

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Hemender Goswami, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Pathania, DAG, Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during the pendency of the petition, the punishment order has been passed and the appellate authority has also passed the order, which is required to be challenged. Therefore, an application for withdrawal of the main petition has been filed with liberty to file fresh one to challenge the punishment order as well as the order of the appellate authority.

The application is allowed as prayed for and the main case is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

No

Whether Reportable:

No