

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25529 of 2017

Date of decision : 09.11.2017

Dilbag Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shiromani Gurudwara Prabandhak Committee and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Jyoti Sareen, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

As per case of the petitioner, he was appointed as Sewadar by respondents and was put on probation. Thereafter, he was promoted as Helper in the year 2006 and again he was promoted as Incharge on 19.04.2011. Vide letter dated 28.11.2012, recommendation was made by respondent No.3 to confirm the petitioner on the post of Incharge but thereafter he was transferred as Incharge vide order dated 09.07.2013.

The grievance of the petitioner in present petition is that he has worked as Incharge in number of Gurudwaras since passing of order dated 19.04.2011 but he has not been confirmed, whereas juniors to the petitioner who were also initially appointed as Sewadar, have been confirmed as Incharge and that too in the year 2012-13.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has served a legal

notice dated 03.08.2017 (Annexure P-12) upon the respondents and he would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to consider and take necessary action on the legal notice.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and by considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the legal notice dated 03.08.2017 and take necessary action as per averments made therein as also the fact that juniors to the petitioner have already been promoted and confirmed as Incharge. In case the respondent-authorities come to the view that the petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed, then necessary exercise be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order passed by this Court. In case any adverse order is to be passed, then it should be detailed and reasoned one. In case personal appearance of the petitioner is required at the time of disposal of the legal notice, he may be intimated.

09.11.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No