

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

Civil Writ Petition No.25499 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 09, 2017

LAKHI RAM

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Bhavna Grewal, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to fix the pay correctly while taking into consideration the pay of their juniors w.e.f 26.08.2009 i.e. from the date of promotion of the petitioner as DPE and release the arrears of salary along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though legal notice dated March 31, 2017 (P-5) was moved to the respondents but till date, no action has been taken. She further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is given to respondents, to decide the aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

Civil Writ Petition No. 25449 of 2017

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated March 31, 2017 (P-5) and take a conscious decision, as per Rules and Regulations issued by the Government from time to time as well as judgment captioned as *Neelam Rani vs. The State of Haryana and others passed in CWP No.11254 of 2010 decided on May 15, 2013*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 09, 2017
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No