

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

Civil Writ Petition No.25463 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 09, 2017

JEEVA SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the revised pension and others pensionary benefits by counting work charge service w.e.f. 22.04.1982 to 28.06.1999, which becomes 17 years 02 months & 07 days approx. along with regular service w.e.f. 29.06.1999 to 30.06.2013, which becomes around 14 years 02 months approx. and total service become 31 years 02 months & 09 days, but petitioner granted pension only for 28 half years, whereas he is entitled for pension for 31 years (62 half years) along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated September 21, 2017 (P-3) was issued to the respondents but no final decision has been taken by the concerned authorities till date.

Civil Writ Petition No.25463 of 2017

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid legal notice in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Executive Engineer, Distribution Division, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated September 21, 2017 (P-3) and take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of the order dated September 29, 2017 passed in CWP No.22404 of 2017 captioned as ***Joginder Singh vs. Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and ors.*** within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, in case, petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 09, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**