

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4957 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 3.4.2017

Smt. Imrati and others

.. Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Rakesh Dhiman, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Palika Monga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bindal J.

The petitioners have filed the present petition seeking to challenge the acquisition of land, while placing reliance on the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the 2013 Act').

Briefly, the facts of the case are that vide notification dated 31.12.2013, issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (for short, 'the 1894 Act'), State of Haryana sought to acquire land situated in villages Farrukhnagar, Sultanpur and Mubarikpur, Tehsil Farrukhnagar,

District Gurgaon. The same was followed by notification dated 30.12.2014, issued under Section 6 of the 1894 Act.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Rajinder Singh Kanungo, Office of Land Acquisition Officer, Gurugram, submitted that as the administrative approval for announcement of award for the acquired land of the petitioners was not granted by the State, the acquisition has lapsed.

In view of the stand taken by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the prayer made in the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Harinder Singh Sidhu)
Judge

3.4.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No