

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 4070 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.05.2017

Rekha Sharma and anr.

..... Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of India and ors.

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU**

Present:- Mr. Shashikant Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harsh Garg, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Nitin Grover, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have approached this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking writ of Certiorari for quashing the impugned notice dated 11.02.2016 issued under Section 13(2) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, vide which they have been asked to pay a sum of ₹ 22,23,501.59 paise within 60 days failing which the mortgaged property will be put to auction.

2. It is not disputed that the petitioners had already approached the DRT, Chandigarh.

3. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to pursue their remedy before the DRT, Chandigarh.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioners to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to them in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

May 11, 2017
dinesh

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable Yes/No