

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP No.25170 of 2017

Date of decision: 06.11.2017

Shamsher Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr.S.S.Sahu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner, who is working with the respondent-Department, seeks consideration qua his representation dated 03.09.2014 (Annexure P-1) and reminder dated 16.05.2017 (Annexure P-2), whereby he is seeking step-up of his pay with his junior-ASI Joginder Kumar and SI Sajjan Kumar and for paying the arrears.

Counsel has made reference to (Annexure P-5) to show that the matter is pending consideration before respondent No.4 and as per the said endorsement dated 16.12.2016, it has been returned in original inspite of the recommendations having been made by the said respondent and submits that petitioner would be satisfied if the said representation is decided within a time-bound frame.

Notice of motion.

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy of the paperbook has been supplied to her.

Keeping in view the fact that the respondents are yet to take a decision on the said legal notice, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by calling upon the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner, as such and keeping in view the above, it would be appropriate if respondent No.4 takes a decision on the representation dated 03.09.2014 (Annexure P-1), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. If the benefit is to be denied, the same should contain reasons and be conveyed to the petitioners, forthwith.

06.11.2017

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

Sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No