

CWP No. 25168 of 2017

DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 06, 2017

BRAHM PARKASH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to make the remaining payment of gratuity, to him for which a representation dated August 04, 2017 (P-4) has also been submitted.

2. At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that though a representation dated August 04, 2017 (P-4) was made to respondent No.4 but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondents to decide the afore-said representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation (P-4) and to decide the same, particularly in view of order dated August 27, 2015 (P-2) as well as judgment rendered by Hon'ble Division

Bench of this Court in CWP No. 15423 of 2008, titled as *Municipal Council,*

Pathankot vs. Appellate Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act and others; decided on September 22, 2008 (P-3) and also in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 06, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>