

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.115

Civil Writ Petition No.25101 of 2017
DECIDED ON: November 08, 2017

BALWANT SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, NABHA ROAD,
PATIALA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix pension of the petitioners w.e.f. January 01, 2006 or their date of superannuation by extending benefit of notification dated June 27, 2017 (P-5) along with all consequential reliefs as per judgments in case *State of Punjab and ors. vs. A.P. Sharma & ors., 2017(1) SCT 322 DB (P-11)* and *Karanvir Singh & ors. vs. State of Punjab & ors., 2016 (4) SCT 715 (P-12)* as well as further for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix pension and pensionary benefits of the petitioner as per their service conditions and to release arrears of revised pension along with all consequential benefits with interest @ 18% per annum.

Civil Writ Petition No. 25101 of 2017

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though a legal notice dated July 17, 2017 (Annexure P-15) was moved to the respondent but till date, no action has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is given to respondent, to decide the aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated July 17, 2017 (Annexure P-15) and take a conscious decision, as per Rules and Instructions issued by the Government from time to time and in view of the judgments in case *State of Punjab and ors. vs. A.P. Sharma & ors. (supra)* and *Karanvir Singh & ors. vs. State of Punjab & ors. (supra)*, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case, petitioners still feel aggrieved of the order passed by concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

November 08, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**