

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.6008 of 2014
Date of decision: July 13, 2017

Ram Bharose @ Ram Bharosh ...Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rohit Arya, AAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J. (oral)

Petitioner is aggrieved by the orders passed by the authorities under the Act. According to him, the revenue record pertaining to village Karoli from 1893 to 1930 has not been supplied to him. The concerned authority i.e. State Public Information Officer did not make effort to locate the records. This resulted injustice to the petitioner as he was deprived of the information sought by him. During the course of hearing of this petition, a reply was filed on behalf of respondents No.2 to 6. Reply dated 9.10.2015 to the replication was also filed. Para 6 thereof reads as under:-

“6. That in reply to para No.6 it is submitted that it is further reiterated that the record prior to 1930 is not available with the halka patwari related to village Karoli. However, after due diligence and search the same was found in the office of Deputy Commissioner office Rewari and the same was supplied to the petitioner as per rule after requisite fee was deposited.”

In view of the stand taken in the aforesaid reply, it is urged before the court that the orders passed by the authorities below be set-aside. Matter be remitted for decision afresh. This prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

In view of above, orders Annexures P-12 and P-13 are hereby set-aside. Matter is remitted to Sub Divisional Officer (Civil), Rewari for consideration afresh. Petition is allowed in these terms.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 13, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No