

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25037 of 2017
Date of decision:10.11.2017

M/s Oriental Veneer Products Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

The General Manager and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Mandeep Singh Sachdev, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 30.05.2017, by which it has been de-listed. It is not disputed that the relationship between the parties of buyer and seller is governed by the internal Rules in which there is a provision of Appellate Authority under Rule 4.5. The petitioner has, thus, an efficacious remedy of appeal provided under the Rules itself, therefore, it cannot invoke extraordinary jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

In view thereof, the present petition is dismissed being not maintainable. However, the petitioner may, if so advised, avail the remedy of appeal and since period of 30 days is provided to file the appeal, therefore, in case any appeal is filed by the petitioner along with an application for condonation of delay, the same shall be considered sympathetically by the Appellate Authority and shall try to decide the appeal on merits.

November 10, 2017
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No