

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.25020 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 03, 2017

Pritam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was chargesheeted on 12.10.2015 while he was on extension in service, and thereafter, after completing the extended period of service, he retired on 31.12.2015. However, due to the pendency of the chargesheet, with no further proceedings having been taken, including any decision on the enquiry report, stated to have been submitted, the petitioner has been denied his retiral benefits.

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is stated to have got served legal notices upon the respondents, the last one being dated 16.08.2017, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-14, which is stated to have been replied to by the District Manager, HOUSEFED, Jalandhar, that the petitioner should approach the competent authority, i.e. Managing Director, HOUSEFED, Chandigarh.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the disciplinary proceedings against the petitioner, within a period of 2 months

from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

November 03, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No