

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Gurpreet Kaur
2017.11.07 13:48
Attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
high court

CWP No.25014 of 2017

Date of Decision: 03.11.2017

Amarjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. R.K. Handa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to consider her case for promotion to the post of Under Secretary against reserved category.

On perusal of documents available on the file and after hearing the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner, it appears that no representation has been moved to the competent authority, whereas, for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus*, it is required that the representation should have been moved.

Accordingly, no directions can be issued without moving any representation.

However, in case, the petitioner moves a representation preferably within a period of one month from today, the respondents are directed to consider the same and pass necessary orders in accordance with law within a period of two months thereafter.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

03.11.2017
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No