

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24991 of 2017
DECIDED ON: NOVEMBER 07, 2017

SHAM LAL BHATTI

.....PETITIONER.

VERSUS

PUNJAB WATER SUPPLY & SEWERAGE
BOARD AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant interest @ 18% per annum on the delayed retiral dues as he retired on 31.01.2016 while he was given contributory provident fund (own share of ₹13,93,836) on 10.10.2016, (board share of ₹15,43,220/-) on 30.06.2017, gratuity of ₹10,00,000/- on 22.09.2017 and leave encashment of ₹6,43,106/- on 22.09.2017.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the representation dated 23.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 23.09.2017 (Annexure P-8) and to decide the same as per Govt. Instructions issued from time to time as well as keeping in view the observations made by Full Bench judgment of this Court in case captioned as ***“R.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab, 1997 (3) RSJ 318***, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

NOVEMBER 07, 2017,
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***