

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

226-6

**CWP-3816-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.01.2017**

Ravi Parkash Yadav and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate for
Mr. Raman B.Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar Verma, Advocate for respondent No.3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a direction to the respondents not to replace the petitioners' by the same set of contractual employees.

Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submitted that the petitioners' have approached this court on the presumption that the respondents are going for fresh contract appointment which is not so.

In view of the said submission, the petition do not survive for consideration. Accordingly, CWP stands disposed of.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

19.01.2017

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No