

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24911 of 2011

Date of Decision: November 29, 2017

Neeraj Kumar Petitioner
Vs.
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 14.09.2017 passed by respondent No.2 by which he has appointed respondent No.5 as Chowkidar.

It is submitted that the petitioner was recommended by both the recommending authorities but the Collector has appointed respondent No.5 without taking into consideration the merits of the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

The collector has passed a detailed order in which he has also referred to the qualification of the petitioner as well but he found respondent No.5 to be more suitable to the post and thus appointed him as such.

In view of the above, I do not find any error in the order passed by the Collector. Hence, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 29, 2017
Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No