

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

127

CWP No.24908 of 2017
Date of decision:2.11.2017

Puran Mal and others

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners
...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in respect of their grievance, the petitioners have got served a legal notice upon the respondents, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-7 with the petition, which has still not been decided, as contended.

Consequently, without making any comment on the controversy in question at this stage, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-7), dated 18.10.2016, by passing a speaking order, within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, with the petitioners given liberty to file a supplementary representation citing all the judgments in their favour with regard to a fresh option being exercisable at any time even beyond the period stipulated in the instructions granting such permission for exercise of option.

If the legal notice of the petitioners has already been rejected by the respondents by passing any short order, then a detailed order shall now be passed; if on the other hand, a detailed order has already been passed, then a short order need be passed, annexing therewith the detailed order already passed.

2.11.2017
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No